No wonder they’re grumpy

Muni drivers average $82K a year and enjoy a full slate of benefits, and aren’t even around when you need them. Cab drivers earn barely half that—plus the occasional gun to the head and no healthcare—and now, reports taxi-driving journalist Brad Newsham, they’re about to lose their only shred of a safety net.
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After 25 years as a San Francisco cab driver, I’ve accumulated enough stories to yank my way through a dinner party: celebrities, hookers, a $105 tip, a handgun held to my head, and—the blockbusters—a $20,644.90 ride from the Golden Gate Bridge to the White House. But whenever I begin to tell my most important (but perhaps dreary) tale, the one about how the mayor and a handful of transportation officials are working together to strong-arm a large fortune away from the cab industry, I notice eyes glaring over and hear minds switching channels. So tell you what: Hang with me for as long as a $10 cab fare might take, and next time you’re in my backseat, the ride’s on the house. Assuming my cab hasn’t been stolen out from under me. Deal?

Decades before the phrase “San Francisco values” was coined, the city’s voters stepped outside the box and created perhaps the world’s most driver-friendly cab system. At the time, the local industry was controlled by a few company owners, some absentee, who scooped away enormous profits. But in 1978, San Francisco’s enlightened citizenry passed Proposition K, which granted a significant number of the city’s working drivers control of S.F.’s all-important taxicab permits, the infamous medallions: those small rectangular...
pieces of tin displayed in the front window and stamped with the cab's ID number.

I heard about the medallion revolution in 1985, when I was 33 years old, fresh from a round-the-world back-packing trip, beyond broke, and just a month into my cab-driving career. Before 1978, San Francisco had an open market in medallions (some cities still do), which allowed investors to buy and sell them the same way they do homes and businesses. At the time, medallions fetched $13,000 and up. But Prop K stipulated that the city would allow them to be held indefinitely—by working drivers only. As permit holders retired or died, San Francisco would reclaim their medallions and reissue them to drivers at the top of a waiting list.

By the time I came on the scene, the list already sported 1,000 names (it now has 2,500), and my predicted wait was 15 years. In the meantime, I could rent a permit from someone else: Typically, when not behind the wheel, a holder rents out his or her medallion to a cab company, which re-rents it to non-medallion holders and sends the holder a monthly check for $2,000.

Two thousand bucks a month is not a viable adult income in San Francisco, but it's certainly a very acceptable tip, and Prop K enabled hundreds of medallion holders to buy a home, put a kid through college, afford healthcare, or just relax a bit. Many senior drivers consider Prop K's largest the best break—often the only break—they've ever gotten. So, since I enjoyed the work, I shook off my inaugural mugging, cleaned up after the pikers, and just kept grinding, with that shiny medallion always dangling in the distance.

Shoulder to shoulder with me were hordes of hopefuls, many of whom had clawed their way out of political or economic chaos in the developing world. Wondwossens had left Ethiopia after the Communist government killed hundreds of his friends. Mohammed, a former driver at the American Embassy in Kabul, had led his family on foot across the Hindu Kush, just ahead of the Russian invasion. All had families living not far from the pyramids of Giza who still counted on his support.

While waiting, we cheered friends who summited the list. Adam's medallion allowed him to take care of some needed dental work. Muhgeta splurged on horse-riding lessons for his sons. Gay, a lifelong baseball fan, bought a Giants’ season ticket. But not everyone made it: My friend Chris died of AIDS before achieving medallion status; Ron became a full-time teacher and dropped out of the hunt; Zareh was just 70 and nearing the top of the list when his cab was broadsided on Broadway by a drunk—he and a passenger died instantly. But in January 2005, the SFMTA Taxicab Detail notified me that my 28-year quest was over. A medallion holder had died, and I was next up on the list. By then, I was a husband and a father, and no parent needs an explanation of the importance of an extra $2,000 a month.

Then, at the height of the housing bubble and long before the city’s budget crisis began to dominate the news, rumors started to fly: Mayor Newsom, with his eye on New York City, where medallions now fetch as much as $500,000 on the open market, was going to abolish the list, confiscate all medallions, and auction them to the highest bidders.

“No way!” we said. “Gay’s our friend. He cares for the homeless, champions the oppressed. Besides, on eight separate occasions, the voters had rejected attempts to alter Prop K. Still, during the run-up to the off-year 2007 election, with no notice to cab drivers and no public debate or media coverage, someone (no one will claim credit) slipped three devastating sentences into a bill titled Transit Reform, Parking Regulation and Emissions Reductions, and Newsom signed on and led the charge. They read something like this: “Blah blah blah blah...transfer powers and duties of the Taxi Commission to the San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency (SFMTA)...blah blah blah...any agency regulations adopted in the future shall thereafter supersede all previously adopted ordinances.” Right. Just like that, a column in the head of Prop K.

SAN FRANCISCANS HAVE ALWAYS HAD A SOFT SPOT FOR THEIR CAB DRIVERS. WE ARE THE LATE-NIGHT RIDE HOME, OCCASIONAL ENTERTAINMENT, THE CITY’S UNOFFICIAL AMBASSADORS, AND EVEN A POTENTIAL BACKUP STRATEGY: IF MY LIFE EVER BLOWS UP, I CAN ALWAYS DRIVE A CAB.
bureaucrats pulling down healthy civil-service salaries. The unionized Muni bus drivers average $82,000 annually. Nevertheless, the law says that our new masters can write any new rules they please. If the agency decrees that the medallions of all six-cat drivers should be confiscated and sold to the highest bidder (last summer, the SFMTA publicly discussed doing exactly that), with the profits used to subsidize the operations, paychecks, and pensions of the vast SFMTA archipelago, that will become the law of the land.

There is no labor union in the cab world, and no official news organ. Sketchy information passes from driver to driver, often in languages other than English. So with the media brownout, it took a good long while for cab drivers to emit a delayed grovel. Our little noise did succeed, however, in damping down the talk of revoking our medallions.

Starting last fall, the SFMTA's deputy director of taxi services, Christiane Hayashi, began holding cab industry town hall meetings in 20 or more different venues. Her assignment was to allow all parties to plead their cases, then draft a new plan. Newsom and the SFMTA insisted that, whatever else the plan included, it absolutely had to extract gobs of money from the cab industry and pour it into the empty coffers of the city and the SFMTA by June 50 of this year.

Hayashi also had to address another problem that Prop K, for all its glories, failed to anticipate: the more than 200 medallion holders over the age of 70, many of whom should probably not be driving. But regulations require them to surrender their medallions if they don't drive 800 hours per year, so they regularly drive themselves to the yard and squeeze behind the wheel. For decades, people have been discussing this very real public-safety issue. How do you protect the general welfare without turning the old folks out to the wolves?

Hayashi has held 170 hours of meetings aimed at resolving all these issues. She must reconcile the competing interests of 6,500 cab drivers, 1,500 permit holders, 31 cab companies, state and federal labor laws, unions, the Americans with Disabilities Act, humanitarian concerns, banks and credit unions, the threat of lawsuits or a taxi strike, and much more. Despite the hit-woman nature of her assignment, Hayashi, who has a maddeningly ever-momentous, has managed to shun just about everyone involved, including me. Still, her resulting plan, which the SFMTA preliminarily okayed in February, has glaring holes.

It's built around a pilot program that will allow permit holders over 70 to sell their medallions and exit the industry, while drivers at the top of the list continue to pay administrative fees (about $1,300 per year) for their shot at a medallion. That's where the trouble starts: Medallions can be sold for as much as the $400,000 cap, but they're likely to go for around $250,000. Since few people have that kind of money lying around, it will mean looking for financing and essentially taking out a small mortgage—for something they won't even own. Not only that, but drivers at the top of the list who have been waiting for years could lose their shot at medallionhood if they can't afford it.

As we go to press, no lending institution has yet agreed to finance a cab driver's medallion.

In any event, the SFMTA will take a cut of the proceeds, and projections of revenue come in at $11.3 million. A final board vote was scheduled for the end of March.

**WHAT DO I, THE BLOWHARD CAB DRIVER, THINK about all this? It might help to know that I was apoplectic when Nancy Pelosi squashed all attempts to have George W. Bush impeached, and I'm speechless over the fact that John Yoo, author of the infamous Bush administration "torture memo," is now resting comfortably in a six-figure position at UC Berkeley teaching constitutional law. So, no, I'm not well grounded. But thanks for asking. Here you go:

First, I'm embarrassed that we cab drivers are not organized enough to resist the theft of our industry. For the past three years, I've heard loud grumblings, but they're always drowned out by a chorus of "There's nothing you can do."

Also, I hate to think that right under my nose—in San Francisco of all places—a bunch of Have-Mores can go into a back room and come out with a plan to essentially take piles of money from the Have-Lessers. Each of Hayashi's suggested reforms could be accomplished easily without relieving the cab industry of millions of dollars per year; but this transfer is the only non-negotiable plank in her package. Try pulling something like this on a friend, and you've got one dead relationship. In short, being bullied and robbed simply stinks.

So here's what Mayor Newsom should do. He should convene a press conference on the steps of city hall, surrounded by cabs and cab drivers. And this is what he should say:

"I'm sorry. I don't know what I was thinking. The idea that we were going to balance our fiscal woes on the backs of the little guy is insupportable."

And then I'd give him a free ride home in my cab.